

Ma

KEY STAGE

3

ALL TIERS

2006

Mathematics tests

Mark scheme for Paper 2

Tiers 3–5, 4–6, 5–7 and 6–8

2006



department for

education and skills

creating opportunity, releasing potential, achieving excellence

Introduction

The test papers will be marked by external markers. The markers will follow the mark scheme in this booklet, which is provided here to inform teachers.

This booklet contains the mark scheme for paper 2 at all tiers. The paper 1 mark scheme is printed in a separate booklet. Questions have been given names so that each one has a unique identifier irrespective of tier.

The structure of the mark schemes

The marking information for questions is set out in the form of tables, which start on page 12 of this booklet. The columns on the left-hand side of each table provide a quick reference to the tier, question number, question part, and the total number of marks available for that question part.

The **Correct response** column usually includes two types of information:

- a statement of the requirements for the award of each mark, with an indication of whether credit can be given for correct working, and whether the marks are independent or cumulative
- examples of some different types of correct response, including the most common.

The **Additional guidance** column indicates alternative acceptable responses, and provides details of specific types of response that are unacceptable. Other guidance, such as when 'follow through' is allowed, is provided as necessary.

Questions with a *Using and applying mathematics element* are identified in the mark scheme by an encircled *U* with a number that indicates the significance of using and applying mathematics in answering the question. The *U* number can be any whole number from 1 to the number of marks in the question.

For graphical and diagrammatic responses, including those in which judgements on accuracy are required, marking overlays have been provided as the centre pages of this booklet.

The 2006 key stage 3 mathematics tests and mark schemes were developed by the Mathematics Test Development Team at Edexcel.

General guidance

Using the mark schemes

Answers that are numerically equivalent or algebraically equivalent are acceptable unless the mark scheme states otherwise.

In order to ensure consistency of marking, the most frequent procedural queries are listed on the following two pages with the prescribed correct action. This is followed by further guidance relating to marking of questions that involve money, negative numbers, algebra, time, coordinates or probability. Unless otherwise specified in the mark scheme, markers should apply the following guidelines in all cases.

What if ...

<i>The pupil's response does not match closely any of the examples given.</i>	Markers should use their judgement in deciding whether the response corresponds with the statement of requirements given in the Correct response column. Refer also to the Additional guidance .
<i>The pupil has responded in a non-standard way.</i>	Calculations, formulae and written responses do not have to be set out in any particular format. Pupils may provide evidence in any form as long as its meaning can be understood. Diagrams, symbols or words are acceptable for explanations or for indicating a response. Any correct method of setting out working, however idiosyncratic, is acceptable. Provided there is no ambiguity, condone the continental practice of using a comma for a decimal point.
<i>The pupil has made a conceptual error.</i>	In some questions, a method mark is available provided the pupil has made a computational, rather than conceptual, error. A computational error is a slip such as writing $4 \times 6 = 18$ in an otherwise correct long multiplication. A conceptual error is a more serious misunderstanding of the relevant mathematics; when such an error is seen no method marks may be awarded. Examples of conceptual errors are: misunderstanding of place value, such as multiplying by 2 rather than 20 when calculating 35×27 ; subtracting the smaller value from the larger in calculations such as $45 - 26$ to give the answer 21; incorrect signs when working with negative numbers.
<i>The pupil's accuracy is marginal according to the overlay provided.</i>	Overlays can never be 100% accurate. However, provided the answer is within, or touches, the boundaries given, the mark(s) should be awarded.
<i>The pupil's answer correctly follows through from earlier incorrect work.</i>	Follow through marks may be awarded only when specifically stated in the mark scheme, but should not be allowed if the difficulty level of the question has been lowered. Either the correct response or an acceptable follow through response should be marked as correct.
<i>There appears to be a misreading affecting the working.</i>	This is when the pupil misreads the information given in the question and uses different information. If the original intention or difficulty level of the question is not reduced, deduct one mark only. If the original intention or difficulty level is reduced, do not award any marks for the question part.
<i>The correct answer is in the wrong place.</i>	Where a pupil has shown understanding of the question, the mark(s) should be given. In particular, where a word or number response is expected, a pupil may meet the requirement by annotating a graph or labelling a diagram elsewhere in the question.

What if ...

<i>The final answer is wrong but the correct answer is shown in the working.</i>	Where appropriate, detailed guidance will be given in the mark scheme and must be adhered to. If no guidance is given, markers will need to examine each case to decide whether:	
	the incorrect answer is due to a transcription error;	If so, award the mark.
	in questions not testing accuracy, the correct answer has been given but then rounded or truncated;	If so, award the mark.
	the pupil has continued to give redundant extra working which does not contradict work already done;	If so, award the mark.
	the pupil has continued, in the same part of the question, to give redundant extra working which does contradict work already done.	If so, do not award the mark. Where a question part carries more than one mark, only the final mark should be withheld.
<i>The pupil's answer is correct but the wrong working is seen.</i>	A correct response should always be marked as correct unless the mark scheme states otherwise.	
<i>The correct response has been crossed or rubbed out and not replaced.</i>	Mark, according to the mark scheme, any legible crossed or rubbed out work that has not been replaced.	
<i>More than one answer is given.</i>	If all answers given are correct or a range of answers is given, all of which are correct, the mark should be awarded unless prohibited by the mark scheme. If both correct and incorrect responses are given, no mark should be awarded.	
<i>The answer is correct but, in a later part of the question, the pupil has contradicted this response.</i>	A mark given for one part should not be disallowed for working or answers given in a different part, unless the mark scheme specifically states otherwise.	

Marking specific types of question

Responses involving money For example: £3.20 £7	
Accept ✓	Do not accept ✗
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Any unambiguous indication of the correct amount eg £3.20(p), £3 20, £3,20, 3 pounds 20, £3-20, £3 20 pence, £3:20, £7.00 ✓ The unit, £ or p, is usually printed in the answer space. Where the pupil writes an answer outside the answer space with no units, accept responses that are unambiguous when considered alongside the given units eg with £ given in the answer space, accept 3.20 7 or 7.00 ✓ Given units amended eg with £ crossed out in the answer space, accept 320p 700p 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✗ Incorrect or ambiguous indication of the amount eg £320, £320p or £700p ✗ Ambiguous use of units outside the answer space eg with £ given in the answer space, do not accept 3.20p outside the answer space ✗ Incorrect placement of decimal points, spaces, etc or incorrect use or omission of 0 eg £3.2, £3 200, £32 0, £3-2-0 £7.0

Responses involving negative numbers For example: -2	
Accept ✓	Do not accept ✗
	<p>To avoid penalising the error below more than once within each question, do not award the mark for the <i>first</i> occurrence of the error within each question. Where a question part carries more than one mark, only the final mark should be withheld.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✗ Incorrect notation eg 2-

Responses involving the use of algebra	
For example: $2 + n$ $n + 2$ $2n$ $\frac{n}{2}$ n^2	
Accept ✓	Take care ! Do not accept ✗
<p>✓ Unambiguous use of a different case or variable eg N used for n x used for n</p> <p>✓ Words used to precede or follow equations or expressions eg $t = n + 2$ tiles or tiles = $t = n + 2$ for $t = n + 2$</p> <p>✓ Unambiguous letters used to indicate expressions eg $t = n + 2$ for $n + 2$</p>	<p>! Unconventional notation eg $n \times 2$ or $2 \times n$ or $n2$ or $n + n$ for $2n$ $n \times n$ for n^2 $n \div 2$ for $\frac{n}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{2}n$ $2 + 1n$ for $2 + n$ $2 + 0n$ for 2</p> <p>Within a question that demands simplification, do not accept as part of a final answer involving algebra. Accept within a method when awarding partial credit, or within an explanation or general working.</p> <p>✗ Embedded values given when solving equations eg in solving $3x + 2 = 32$, $3 \times 10 + 2 = 32$ for $x = 10$</p> <p>To avoid penalising the two types of error below more than once within each question, do not award the mark for the <i>first</i> occurrence of each type within each question. Where a question part carries more than one mark, only the final mark should be withheld.</p> <p>! Words or units used within equations or expressions eg n tiles + 2 n cm + 2</p> <p>Do not accept on their own. Ignore if accompanying an acceptable response.</p> <p>✗ Ambiguous letters used to indicate expressions eg $n = n + 2$ for $n + 2$</p>

Responses involving time <i>A time interval For example: 2 hours 30 minutes</i>	
Accept ✓	Take care ! Do not accept ✗
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Any unambiguous indication eg 2.5 (hours), 2h 30 ✓ Digital electronic time ie 2:30 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✗ Incorrect or ambiguous time interval eg 2.3(h), 2.30, 2-30, 2h 3, 2.30min ! The unit, hours and/or minutes, is usually printed in the answer space. Where the pupil writes an answer outside the answer space, or crosses out the given unit, accept answers with correct units, unless the question has specifically asked for other units to be used.
A specific time For example: 8:40am 17:20	
Accept ✓	Do not accept ✗
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Any unambiguous, correct indication eg 08.40, 8.40, 8:40, 0840, 8 40, 8-40, twenty to nine, 8,40 ✓ Unambiguous change to 12 or 24 hour clock eg 17:20 as 5:20pm, 17:20pm 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✗ Incorrect time eg 8.4am, 8.40pm ✗ Incorrect placement of separators, spaces, etc or incorrect use or omission of 0 eg 840, 8:4:0, 084, 84

Responses involving coordinates <i>For example: (5, 7)</i>	
Accept ✓	Do not accept ✗
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Unconventional notation eg (05, 07) (five, seven) $\begin{matrix} x & y \\ (5, 7) \end{matrix}$ ($x = 5, y = 7$) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✗ Incorrect or ambiguous notation eg (7, 5) $\begin{matrix} y & x \\ (7, 5) \end{matrix}$ (5x, 7y) (5^x, 7^y) ($x - 5, y - 7$)

Responses involving probability A numerical probability should be expressed as a decimal, fraction or percentage only For example: 0.7 $\frac{7}{10}$ 70%	
Accept ✓	Take care ! Do not accept ✗
<p>✓ Equivalent decimals, fractions and percentages eg 0.700, $\frac{70}{100}$, $\frac{35}{50}$, 70.0%</p> <p>✓ A probability correctly expressed in one acceptable form which is then incorrectly converted, but is still less than 1 and greater than 0 eg $\frac{70}{100} = \frac{18}{25}$</p>	<p>The first four categories of error below should be ignored if accompanied by an acceptable response, but should not be accepted on their own. However, to avoid penalising the first three types of error below more than once within each question, do not award the mark for the <i>first</i> occurrence of each type of error unaccompanied by an acceptable response. Where a question part carries more than one mark, only the final mark should be withheld.</p> <p>! A probability that is incorrectly expressed eg 7 in 10 7 over 10 7 out of 10 7 from 10</p> <p>! A probability expressed as a percentage without a percentage sign.</p> <p>! A fraction with other than integers in the numerator and/or denominator.</p> <p>! A probability expressed as a ratio eg 7 : 10, 7 : 3, 7 to 10</p> <p>✗ A probability greater than 1 or less than 0</p>

Recording marks awarded on the test paper

All questions, even those not attempted by the pupil, will be marked, with a 1 or a 0 entered in each marking space. Where 2m can be split into 1m gained and 1m lost, with no explicit order, then this will be recorded by the marker as 1
0

The total marks awarded for a double page will be written in the box at the bottom of the right-hand page, and the total number of marks obtained on the paper will be recorded on the front of the test paper.

A total of 120 marks is available in each of tiers 3–5, 4–6 and 6–8.

A total of 121 marks is available in tier 5–7.

Awarding levels

The sum of the marks gained on paper 1, paper 2 and the mental mathematics paper determines the level awarded. Level threshold tables, which show the mark ranges for the award of different levels, will be available on the NAA website www.naa.org.uk/tests from Monday 19 June 2006. NAA will also send a copy to each school in July.

Schools will be notified of pupils' results by means of a marksheet, which will be returned to schools by the external marking agency with the pupils' marked scripts. The marksheet will include pupils' scores on the test papers and the levels awarded.

BLANK PAGE




Tier & Question							Pupil list	
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8	2				
						Correct response	Additional guidance	
a				1m	7			
b				1m	Huw Davies		✓ Unambiguous indication eg, for part (b) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ Huw ♦ Davies ♦ 21/11/92 eg, for part (c) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ Leroy ♦ LT ♦ 06/10/92 	
c				1m	Leroy Taylor			
d				1m	Gives the correct date eg <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ 07/01/93 ■ 7 Jan 93 	! Date given in different form Accept only if unambiguous or commonly used eg, accept <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ 1/7/93 [US notation] × Year not given eg <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ 7th January 		

Tier & Question									Moving on a grid	
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8							
4							Correct response		Additional guidance	
a					1m	Gives the correct direction eg <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ South 1 ■ 1 S 		! <i>Correct compass point(s) indicated, but indication of the number of squares to move incorrect or omitted</i> Penalise only the first occurrence eg, for parts (a) and (b) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ South 2 [for part (a)] then North 1 East 2 South 3 [for part (b)] Mark as 0, 1		
b					1m	Gives all three correct directions in a correct order to form a square eg <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ North 1 East 1 South 1 ■ 1 S 1 E 1 N 		! <i>For part (b), response uses additional directions but a square is still formed</i> eg <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ West 1 [repeated] South 2 East 2 North 2 Condone		

Tier & Question									Cards	
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8							
5							Correct response		Additional guidance	
a					1m	£ 2.60		! <i>Final zero omitted</i> Provided this is the only error, penalise only the first occurrence		
b					1m	£ 6.10		! <i>Value given in pence without the corresponding change in units</i> Provided this is the only error, penalise only the first occurrence		
c					1m	Gives a correct pair of codes in either order, ie C and D or B and E		✓ <i>Unambiguous indication</i> eg, for C and D <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ Digits 165 and 195 ♦ C and 1.95 eg, for B and E <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ Digits 125 and 235 		
					1m	Gives a correct pair of codes, other than any previously credited (U1)				

Tier & Question							Tennis
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8				
6						Correct response	Additional guidance
a					1m	3	
b					1m	Ed	<p>✓ <i>Unambiguous indication</i></p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ E
c					1m	<p>Gives a correct explanation that one person cannot play against themselves</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ You can't play against yourself ■ It's where each person is matched with themselves, so there is no game ■ It's Ann v Ann, Bob v Bob etc and that's impossible ■ There are five people so only four possible games each 	<p>✓ <i>Minimally acceptable explanation</i></p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ It's a person matched with themselves ♦ It's Ann v Ann ♦ There are only four possible games each <p>✗ <i>Incomplete explanation</i></p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ There can't be a game ♦ They didn't play ♦ It's impossible







U1

Tier & Question								Mirror lines	
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8						
8	1			Correct response		Additional guidance			
				<p>2m</p> <p>Reflects the triangle correctly in both mirror lines, completing the triangles in all three quadrants correctly, ie</p>  <p style="text-align: center;">mirror line</p> <p style="text-align: center;">mirror line</p>	<p>! Lines not ruled or accurate Accept provided the pupil's intention is clear</p>				
				<p><i>or</i></p> <p>1m</p> <p>Completes the triangles in any two of the three quadrants correctly</p> <p><i>or</i></p> <p>Makes an error in the position of one triangle, and follows through correctly if the incorrect image may have been used for further reflection</p> <p>eg</p>  <p style="text-align: center;">mirror line</p> <p style="text-align: center;">mirror line</p>					<p>✗ For 1m, error in the orientation of a reflected triangle</p>
				<p><i>or</i></p> <p>Makes an error in the position of one vertex, but still draws a right-angled triangle with the hypotenuse in the correct orientation, and follows through correctly if the incorrect image may have been used for further reflection</p> <p>eg</p>  <p style="text-align: center;">mirror line</p> <p style="text-align: center;">mirror line</p>					

Tier & Question										Using rules	
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8								
9	2					Correct response		Additional guidance			
a	a			1m	20, 28						
				1m	36, 108						
				1m	14, $14\frac{1}{2}$ or equivalent					! <i>First new term for each sequence correct, with second terms all incorrect or omitted</i> Mark as 0, 0, 1	
b	b			1m	<p>Indicates No and gives a correct explanation</p> <p>The most common correct explanations:</p> <p>Show that the rule does not work for the third term eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ It doesn't work for the second two numbers, $22 - 8 = 14$ not 18 ■ If it was subtract 8, the last number would be 14 ■ It's $22 - 4 = 18$, not $22 - 8$ ■ $22 - 18 = 4$ not 8 <p>State what the correct rule could be eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ It should be divide by 2, then add 7 ■ The rule is add 14 then halve it ■ You take away half as much each time 					<p>✓ <i>Minimally acceptable explanation</i> eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ $22 - 8 = 14$ ♦ When you take away 8, it should be 14 ♦ 18 should be 14 ♦ The third number should be 14 ♦ $22 - 8 \neq 18$ ♦ It's $22 - 4$ ♦ 18 to 22 is 4 <p>✗ <i>Incomplete or incorrect explanation</i> eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ 18 is wrong ♦ It should be 14 ♦ It doesn't work for 22 and 18 ♦ You subtract a different number the second time ♦ $8 - 22 = 14$ ♦ $22 - 8 = 15$ <p>✓ <i>Minimally acceptable explanation</i> eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ $\div 2 + 7$ ♦ It's take away 8, then take away 4 ♦ -8 and -4 ♦ You halve what you subtract <p>✗ <i>Incomplete or incorrect explanation</i> eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ You subtract a different number each time ♦ You subtract 4 ♦ The rule is subtract 4 ♦ Take away half 	
										(U1)	

Tier & Question								Cough mixture	
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8						
10	3					Correct response		Additional guidance	
				2m		<p>Gives a correct justification that shows or implies there is not enough cough mixture</p> <p>The most common correct justifications:</p> <p>Refer to the amount needed for 5 days eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Adult: $10 \times 4 \times 5 = 200$ Children: $5 \times 4 \times 5 = 100$ but there is only 250 ■ $200 + 100 = 300$, so no ■ You need 300ml ■ You need 60ml for each of the 5 days, and the bottle only holds 250ml ■ You need 50ml more ■ $250 - 40 - 40 - 40 - 40 - 40 = 50$ $50 - 20 - 20 = 10$, so the child will not have enough for the last 3 days <p>Refer to how long the bottle will last or how many doses it will provide eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Each day they need 60ml so there is only enough for just over 4 days ■ It will last about 4 days ■ They need 15ml each time, but $250 \div 15 < 20 \times 15$ ■ There is only enough for 16 doses, but they need 20 			<p style="text-align: center;">✓ Minimally acceptable justification</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ 200, 100 so no ♦ $(10 + 5) \times 20 > 250$ ♦ 300 needed ♦ 50 too little ♦ $250 - 200 = 50$ $50 - 20 = 30$ $30 - 20 = 10$, so there's not enough ♦ Only 4 days <p style="text-align: center;">✗ For 2m, incomplete justification</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ 200, 100 ♦ 300 ♦ -50 ♦ $250 - 200 = 50$, $50 - 20 = 30$, $30 - 20 = 10$ ♦ 4 days ♦ It will run out

Tier & Question				Cough mixture (cont)	
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8		
10	3			Correct response	Additional guidance
				<p><i>or</i></p> <p>1m</p> <p>Shows or implies a correct method for the amount needed for 5 days, or for how long the bottle will last, with not more than one error</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ 300 seen [no decision] ■ 300, there is enough [incorrect decision] ■ 200, 100 [no decision] ■ –50 seen [no decision] ■ 4 days [no decision] ■ 16 doses [no decision] ■ $10 \times 4 = 40$, $5 \times 4 = 30$ (<i>error</i>), $40 + 30 = 70$, $70 \times 5 = 350$, not enough [computational error] ■ $10 + 5 = 15$, $15 \times 4 \times 4$ (<i>error</i>) = 240 needed so there is enough [error in number of days as 4] ■ $10 + 5$ then $\times 5$, so yes [error in number of doses per day as 1] ■ 75, so yes [error in number of doses per day as 1] <p>or</p> <p>Shows or implies a correct method for finding the amount for one adult for 5 days</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $10 \times 4 \times 5$ ■ 40, 40, 40, 40, 40 ■ 200, with no evidence of an incorrect method <p>or</p> <p>Shows or implies a correct method for finding the amount for one child for 5 days</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $5 \times 4 \times 5$ ■ 20, 20, 20, 20, 20 ■ 100, with no evidence of an incorrect method <p>or</p> <p>Shows or implies a correct method for finding the total amount needed per day</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ 60, with no evidence of an incorrect method ■ $10 + 5$ then $\times 4$ ■ 40, 20 	
				(U1)	

Tier & Question				Working with areas	
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8		
11	4			Correct response	Additional guidance
		1m	<p>Draws a rectangle of area 6cm^2 eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪  ▪  	<p>! <i>Lines not ruled or accurate</i> Accept provided the pupil's intention is clear</p> <p>✓ <i>Grid lines used as side(s) of shape</i> eg, for the first mark</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦  ♦  	
		1m	<p>Draws a rectangle of area 4cm^2 eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪  ▪  	<p>! <i>Draws shapes for both grids with correct areas that are not rectangles</i> Provided the given shapes are not repeated, mark as 0, 1</p> <p>! <i>Shows or implies the totals 6 and 4, but shapes are incorrect or omitted</i> eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ 6, 4 seen ♦ Rectangles transposed but otherwise correct <p>Mark as 0, 1</p>	

Tier & Question							Pregnancy	
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8					
12	5					Correct response	Additional guidance	
a	a			1m	Whale	<i>✓ Unambiguous indication</i> eg, for part (a) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ W ♦ 365 		
b	b			1m	Seal			
c	c			1m	Dolphin			

Tier & Question							Missing numbers	
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8					
13	6					Correct response	Additional guidance	
				1m	40			
				1m	100			
				1m	50			

Tier & Question								Hexagons			
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8	14							7
					Correct response			Additional guidance			
					2m	Indicates only the three hexagons, ie			✓ For 2m or 1m, <i>unambiguous indication</i> eg ♦ ✓ for a hexagon, ✗ for not a hexagon		
						✓	—	—			
						✓	—	—			
						✓	—	—			
					or 1m	Indicates only two of the three hexagons with no other errors, ie					
						✓	or	✓	or	(error)	
						—		—		—	
						✓		(error)		✓	
						(error)		✓		✓	






Tier & Question								Sponsored swim			
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8	15							8
					Correct response			Additional guidance			
a	a				1m	£ 400			! <i>Zeros given after the decimal point</i> Condone two zeros eg, for part (a) accept ♦ £ 400.00 Penalise only the first occurrence of one zero eg, for parts (a) and (b) ♦ £ 400.0 £ 430.0 Mark as 0, 1		
b	b				1m	£ 430					

Tier & Question						Cat food	
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8				
18	9	1		Correct response		Additional guidance	
a	a	a		1m	$\frac{1}{4}$ or equivalent probability		
b	b	b		1m	$\frac{1}{3}$ or equivalent probability	! <i>Probability rounded</i> Accept 0.33 or better, or percentage equivalents	
c	c	c		1m	0.3 or equivalent probability		

Tier & Question						Wine gums													
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8																
16	10	2		Correct response		Additional guidance													
a	a	a		3m	<p>Completes all three rows of the table correctly, ie</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: 40px;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>...can...</th> <th>...cannot...</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Ravi</td> <td>35</td> <td>15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sita</td> <td>60</td> <td>40</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Tina</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		...can...	...cannot...	Ravi	35	15	Sita	60	40	Tina	100	100	<p>! Inaccurate reading of bar charts for Ravi and Tina Accept values in the following ranges provided the total for the row is correct eg, accept</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ Ravi 35 ± 1 15 ± 1 Tina 100 ± 4 100 ± 4 <p>eg, within a 1m response using only percentages, accept</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ Ravi 70 ± 2 30 ± 2 Tina 50 ± 2 50 ± 2 	
	...can...	...cannot...																	
Ravi	35	15																	
Sita	60	40																	
Tina	100	100																	
				<p><i>or</i></p> <p>2m</p> <p>Completes two rows of the table correctly</p> <p>or</p> <p>Completes one column of the table correctly</p> <p>or</p> <p>Completes the table with the two columns transposed but otherwise correct</p>	<p>! Incorrect units inserted Ignore</p>														
				<p><i>or</i></p> <p>1m</p> <p>Completes either the row for Ravi or the row for Tina correctly</p> <p>or</p> <p>Completes the table using correct percentages from the bar charts, ie</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: 40px;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>...can...</th> <th>...cannot...</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Ravi</td> <td>70</td> <td>30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sita</td> <td>60</td> <td>40</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Tina</td> <td>50</td> <td>50</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				...can...	...cannot...	Ravi	70	30	Sita	60	40	Tina	50	50	
	...can...	...cannot...																	
Ravi	70	30																	
Sita	60	40																	
Tina	50	50																	

Tier & Question									Wine gums (cont)	
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8							
16	10	2								
b	b	b			1m	<p>Explains that Tina used the largest sample size eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ The more tests you do, the more reliable the results ■ Tina asked more people than the others ■ 200 is bigger than 100 or 50 	<p>✓ <i>Minimally acceptable explanation</i></p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ More tests ♦ More people ♦ More wine gums ♦ 200 is bigger ♦ She asked 200 and the others asked 100 or 50 [comparison implicit] ♦ She asked twice as many people as Sita [comparison with Ravi implicit] <p>! <i>Irrelevant information or claim</i></p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ It was 50/50 ♦ Hers were more evenly split ♦ She asked a wider range of people <p>Ignore if accompanying a correct response</p> <p>✗ <i>Incomplete or incorrect explanation</i></p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ More ♦ She asked 200 people [no comparison] ♦ Her results are more reliable as it was half and half 			
										(U1)

Tier & Question									Values	
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8							
17	11	3								
					2m	<p>Gives all three correct values in the correct positions, ie 18, 30 and 100</p>	<p>! <i>Incorrect notation</i></p> <p>eg, for the value of $8 + k$</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ $18k$ <p>Withhold 1 mark only for the first occurrence</p>			
					<i>or</i> 1m	<p>Gives two correct values in the correct positions</p> <p>or</p> <p>Shows all three values 18, 30 and 100, even if their positions are incorrect</p> <p>or</p> <p>Shows correct substitutions, interpreting the addition, multiplication and squaring correctly, but fails to process or processes incorrectly</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $8 + 10$, 3×10, 10×10 seen 				

Tier & Question								Thinking triangularly								
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8													
19	12	4		Correct response		Additional guidance										
				<p>3m</p> <p>Gives all four correct responses, including examples for the two true statements</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">false</td> <td style="width: 100px;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">true</td> <td style="text-align: center;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">true</td> <td style="text-align: center;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">false</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	false		true		true		false		<p>✓ <i>Unambiguous indication of 'true' and 'false'</i></p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ ✓ for true, ✗ for false <p>! <i>'True' example(s) drawn correctly but indication of 'true' omitted</i></p> <p>Condone, provided the examples show unambiguously that the statement is true</p> <p>! <i>Angles in the triangles not marked or marked incorrectly</i></p> <p>Ignore</p> <p>! <i>Triangles not drawn accurately</i></p> <p>Accept provided the pupil's intention is clear eg, for the first 'true' example accept</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦  ♦  <p>! <i>Acute or obtuse angles look like right angles</i></p> <p>Do not accept if the angles are $90^\circ \pm 1^\circ$</p> <p>Otherwise, condone</p> <p>! <i>Example(s) given alongside 'false'</i></p> <p>As these may be trials, ignore</p>			
false																
true																
true																
false																
				<p><i>or</i></p> <p>2m</p> <p>Gives any three correct responses, including a correct example for any true statement</p> <p><i>or</i></p> <p>Gives correct responses for the two true statements, including correct examples, but leaves the spaces for the false statements blank</p>												
				<p><i>or</i></p> <p>1m</p> <p>Gives a correct response for one of the true statements, including a correct example</p> <p><i>or</i></p> <p>Correctly labels all four statements 'true' or 'false' but examples for the true statements are incorrect or omitted</p>												
			(U1)													












Tier & Question					Correct response	Additional guidance																		
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8																					
22	13	5																						
						Toilet rolls																		
				3m	<p>Indicates the pack of 6 toilet rolls and gives a correct justification, based on a pair of comparable values</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ The 6-pack costs £1.25 for 3 rolls, but the 9-pack costs £1.30 for 3 rolls ■ $3.9(0) \div 9 = 0.43(\dots)$ $2.5(0) \div 6 = 0.41(\dots)$ ■ For 9 rolls we have 3.90 and $2.50 \div 2 \times 3 = 3.75$ ■ 6 rolls: $390 \div 3 \times 2 = 260$, ie 10p more ■ The 3 extra toilet rolls in the 9-pack cost £1.40, but in the 6-pack 3 rolls cost £1.25 ■ If the 9-pack were decreased by 3 rolls its price should go down by £1.30, but the difference is £1.40 so it's a better reduction ■ 3 extra rolls cost £1.40 so 12 rolls using the large pack is $3.90 + 1.40 = 5.30$, whereas $2.50 + 2.50$ for the small pack is only 5.00 	<p>✗ For 3m, no decision</p> <p>✓ For 3m, correct decision and any pair of comparable values shown Note that common pairs (in pounds) are:</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td>1.3 and 1.25</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(per 3 rolls)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0.43(...) and 0.41(...) or 0.42</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(per 1 roll)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(3.9 and) 3.75</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(per 9 rolls)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.6 (and 2.5)</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(per 6 rolls)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.8 and 7.5</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(per 18 rolls)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>15.6 and 15</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(per 36 rolls)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>23.4 and 22.5</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(per 54 rolls)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1.4 and 1.25 [or 1.3]</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(3 extra rolls)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.3(...) and 2.4</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(rolls per pound)</td> </tr> </table> <p>! Comparison is per 9 rolls or per 6 rolls but the given price is not restated Condone eg, for 3m accept</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ The 6-pack, because 9 rolls should be £3.75 <p>! Units omitted, incorrect or inconsistent Condone provided the pupil's intention is clear eg, for 3m accept</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ The 6-pack, because $3.9(0) \div 9 = 43$ $2.5(0) \div 6 = 42$ <p>! Additional incorrect working Ignore</p>	1.3 and 1.25	(per 3 rolls)	0.43(...) and 0.41(...) or 0.42	(per 1 roll)	(3.9 and) 3.75	(per 9 rolls)	2.6 (and 2.5)	(per 6 rolls)	7.8 and 7.5	(per 18 rolls)	15.6 and 15	(per 36 rolls)	23.4 and 22.5	(per 54 rolls)	1.4 and 1.25 [or 1.3]	(3 extra rolls)	2.3(...) and 2.4	(rolls per pound)
1.3 and 1.25	(per 3 rolls)																							
0.43(...) and 0.41(...) or 0.42	(per 1 roll)																							
(3.9 and) 3.75	(per 9 rolls)																							
2.6 (and 2.5)	(per 6 rolls)																							
7.8 and 7.5	(per 18 rolls)																							
15.6 and 15	(per 36 rolls)																							
23.4 and 22.5	(per 54 rolls)																							
1.4 and 1.25 [or 1.3]	(3 extra rolls)																							
2.3(...) and 2.4	(rolls per pound)																							
				or 2m	<p>Shows a correct pair of comparable values but makes either an incorrect or no decision</p> <p>or</p> <p>Attempts to find a pair of comparable values, making not more than one computational or rounding error, then follows through to make their correct decision</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ The 6-pack is £1.30 (error) for 3 rolls and so is the 9-pack, so they are the same ■ The 9-pack is £3.90 but should be $2.50 \div 6 \times 9 = 0.41(\text{rounding error}) \times 9 = 3.69$ so 6-pack is cheaper 																			
				or 1m	<p>Shows, or implies by a correct value, a correct method to calculate at least one value for comparison, even if there are computational or rounding errors</p> <p>or</p> <p>Shows the difference in price for 3, 6, 9 or 18 rolls, even if the comparable values or the methods to calculate them are not shown</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ The 6-pack is 5p cheaper ■ The big pack is 10p more ■ 15p difference ■ 30p less 	<p>Note that common calculations are:</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td>$3.9 \div 3$ or $2.5 \div 2$</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(per 3 rolls)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$3.9 \div 9$ or $2.5 \div 6$</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(per 1 roll)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$2.5 \div 2 \times 3$</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(per 9 rolls)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$3.9 \div 3 \times 2$</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(per 6 rolls)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.9×2 or 2.5×3</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(per 18 rolls)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.9×4 or 2.5×6</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(per 36 rolls)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.9×6 or 2.5×9</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(per 54 rolls)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$3.9 - 2.5$ or $2.5 \div 2$ [or $3.9 \div 3$]</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(3 extra rolls)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$9 \div 3.9$ or $6 \div 2.5$</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(rolls per pound)</td> </tr> </table>	$3.9 \div 3$ or $2.5 \div 2$	(per 3 rolls)	$3.9 \div 9$ or $2.5 \div 6$	(per 1 roll)	$2.5 \div 2 \times 3$	(per 9 rolls)	$3.9 \div 3 \times 2$	(per 6 rolls)	3.9×2 or 2.5×3	(per 18 rolls)	3.9×4 or 2.5×6	(per 36 rolls)	3.9×6 or 2.5×9	(per 54 rolls)	$3.9 - 2.5$ or $2.5 \div 2$ [or $3.9 \div 3$]	(3 extra rolls)	$9 \div 3.9$ or $6 \div 2.5$	(rolls per pound)
$3.9 \div 3$ or $2.5 \div 2$	(per 3 rolls)																							
$3.9 \div 9$ or $2.5 \div 6$	(per 1 roll)																							
$2.5 \div 2 \times 3$	(per 9 rolls)																							
$3.9 \div 3 \times 2$	(per 6 rolls)																							
3.9×2 or 2.5×3	(per 18 rolls)																							
3.9×4 or 2.5×6	(per 36 rolls)																							
3.9×6 or 2.5×9	(per 54 rolls)																							
$3.9 - 2.5$ or $2.5 \div 2$ [or $3.9 \div 3$]	(3 extra rolls)																							
$9 \div 3.9$ or $6 \div 2.5$	(rolls per pound)																							
				(U1)																				

Tier & Question							Woodpeckers				
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8	20					14	6	
					Correct response		Additional guidance				
a	a	a			1m	Gives all three correct values in the correct order, ie <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">60</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">10</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">30</td> </tr> </table>	60	10	30		
60	10	30									
b	b	b			1m	1 : 3	✓ <i>Equivalent ratio</i> eg ♦ $\frac{1}{3} : 1$ ♦ 10 : 30				



Tier & Question							Changing 120	
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8	21				
					Correct response		Additional guidance	
					1m	12		
					1m	1.2 or equivalent	✗ <i>1m 20cm</i>	
					1m	0.12 or equivalent		

Tier & Question						Four angles	
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8				
	16	8	1			Correct response	Additional guidance
				3m	Gives all four correct angles, ie $a = 110$ $b = 70$ $c = 50$ $d = 130$	<i>✓ Angles indicated on the diagram</i>	
				<i>or</i> 2m	Gives any three correct angles or Gives all four values 110, 70, 50 and 130, but in the incorrect order		
				<i>or</i> 1m	Gives any two correct angles or Shows three of the angles 110, 70, 50 and 130, but with the links to each letter incorrect or omitted or Gives four different angles (ie no two of the angles are equal) that sum to 360		
				(U1)			

Tier & Question						Balancing	
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8				
	17	9	2			Correct response	Additional guidance
	a	a	a	1m	5	<i>! Answers to parts (a) and (b) transposed but otherwise correct</i> Mark as 0, 1	
	b	b	b	1m	35		

Tier & Question								Five cubes		
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8							
				Correct response		Additional guidance				
				18	10	3				
				1m	Draws a correct view of the shape from above using the square grid, in either orientation eg ■  ■ 			✓ <i>Internal lines omitted</i> eg ◆  ! <i>Throughout the question, lines not ruled or accurate</i> Accept provided the pupil's intention is clear		
				2m	Draws a correct view of the shape using the isometric grid, in either correct orientation eg ■  ■ 			✓ <i>For 2m or 1m, internal lines omitted</i> eg, for 2m accept ◆  ! <i>Their shape takes the given view as a view from below rather than from above</i> Condone eg, for 2m accept ◆  or  ! <i>Their shape takes the given view as a view from one side rather than from above</i> For 2m, accept only if this error was penalised for the first mark eg ◆  then  Mark as 0, 1, 1		
				<i>or</i> 1m	Shows a shape drawn on the isometric grid that takes the given view as a view from one side rather than from above eg ■  or The only error is to omit some external lines or to show some hidden lines eg ■  ■ 			! <i>Hidden lines shown</i> For 2m, accept provided they are clearly indicated as hidden lines eg, for 2m accept ◆  ✗ <i>Shape with more than 5 cubes drawn</i>		

Tier & Question						<i>n</i>th term
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8			
	19	11	4		Correct response	Additional guidance
	a	a	a	1m	Gives a correct expression eg <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $4n + 2$ ■ $4n + 1 + 1$! <i>Unsimplified expression or unconventional notation</i> eg, for part (a) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ $4 \times n + 2$ ◆ $n4 + 2$ Condone
	b	b	b	1m	Gives a correct expression eg <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $3n + 3$ ■ $3(n + 1)$ ■ $\frac{1}{2}(6n + 6)$ ■ $(6n + 6) \div 2$ ■ $\frac{6n}{2} + \frac{6}{2}$ 	✗ <i>Necessary brackets omitted</i> eg, for part (b) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ $6n + 6 \div 2$ eg, for part (c) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ $2 \times 5n - 3$
	c	c	c	1m	Gives a correct expression eg <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $10n - 6$ ■ $2(5n - 3)$ ■ $(5n - 3) \times 2$ 	

Tier & Question									Enlargement		
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8	20							12
					Correct response		Additional guidance				
					1m	Indicates the correct centre of enlargement for the first diagram, ie 		! <i>Centre of enlargement indicated only by intersection of construction lines</i> Accept provided there is no ambiguity ! <i>Inaccurate indication</i> Accept provided their indication is within 2mm of the correct position ! <i>Incorrect construction lines shown</i> Ignore			
					1m	Indicates the correct centre of enlargement for the second diagram, ie 					




Tier & Question						Error
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8			
	21	14	6		Correct response	Additional guidance
		a	a	1m	120	! <i>Incorrect use of % sign</i> Ignore
				1m	84	
		b	b	2m	<p>Gives two correct percentages that sum to 100 eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ 39 ■ 61 ■ 38.8 ■ 61.2 ■ 38.83 ■ 61.17 	<p>! <i>Values rounded</i> For 2m, accept percentages correctly rounded to two or more significant figures, provided they sum to 100</p> <p>Note to markers: Correct percentages are 38.834951456... 61.165048543...</p>
				or 1m	<p>Gives one correct percentage even if truncated, ie 38 or better, or 61 or better</p> <p>or</p> <p>Shows or implies a correct method for both percentages eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $80 \div 206$ ■ $126 \div 206$ ■ Digits 38(...) (or 39) and 61(...) 	

Tier & Question						Tomatoes
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8			
	22	15	7		Correct response	Additional guidance
	a	a	a	1m	Gives a value between 7.2 and 7.5 inclusive, or equivalent	
	b	b	b	1m	<p>Indicates A and gives a correct explanation</p> <p>The most common correct explanations:</p> <p>Use the trend line for type A</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ It is closest to the line for type A ■ (3.2, 5.8) is close to (3, 6) which is on line A ■ Type A have smaller diameters with bigger heights than the other types ■ For A, the height is about double the diameter, and that's roughly true for this one <p>Refer to the diameters of type B being consistently larger than 3.2cm, or to the heights of type A differing from their diameters</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ It's between the lines for A and B, but all the type Bs have diameters between 6 and 7 ■ It's too far from the type C line so it's A or B, and the A ones don't have similar diameters and heights 	<p>✓ <i>Minimally acceptable explanation</i></p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ It's closest to that line ♦ The line goes through (3, 6) which is very close ♦ It is closest to type A [with point correctly plotted on graph] ♦ Type A have small diameters with big heights ♦ For A, height is bigger than diameter ♦ A tomatoes are thin but tall <p>✗ <i>Incomplete or incorrect explanation</i></p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ It is closest to type A ♦ It's in the A section ♦ For A, the height is double the diameter ♦ The graph shows it ♦ It is on A's line ♦ Type A tomatoes have small diameters <p>✓ <i>Minimally acceptable explanation</i></p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ B tomatoes have bigger diameters ♦ A tomatoes have diameters that are not roughly equal to their heights <p>✗ <i>Incomplete explanation</i></p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ It could be A or B but it's more like A

Tier & Question						Tomatoes (cont)
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8			
	22	15	7	Correct response		Additional guidance
	c	c	c	1m	<p>Indicates B and gives a correct explanation</p> <p>The most common correct explanations:</p> <p>Refer to the position of its line on the graph</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ B's graph is closest to $y = x$ (or $h = d$) ■ The line for B is closest to the line drawn [line $h = d$ correctly indicated on graph] <p>Refer to the dimensions of the tomatoes</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ The height and the diameter of a sphere are equal and that's also roughly true for B ■ The height and diameter of B are both around 6 ■ A tomatoes are too tall for their diameter, but C tomatoes are too fat for their height 	<p>✓ <i>Minimally acceptable explanation</i></p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ B's line is about 45° through the middle ♦ It goes through $(0, 0)$ then when d goes up by 1, so does h ♦ The x and y (or h and d) coordinates are nearly equal <p>✗ <i>Incomplete or incorrect explanation</i></p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ B's line is at about 45° ♦ B's line is a diagonal through the middle ♦ The graph shows it ♦ B has $h = 2$ and $d = 2$ <p>✓ <i>Minimally acceptable explanation</i></p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ Same height and diameter ♦ h and d are closest ♦ The two values are nearly equal ♦ The others are either too tall and thin or too short and wide
	d	d		2m or 1m	<p>Gives the value 22.4(...) or 22.5</p> <p>Shows or implies a correct method with not more than one computational or rounding error</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $3.14 \times 3.5^3 \div 6$ ■ $\frac{1}{6} \pi 3.5^2 \times 3.5$ ■ $\pi \div 6 = 0.52$ (<i>premature rounding</i>), $0.52 \times 12.25 \times 3.5 = 22.3$ ■ Answer of 22 or 23, with no correct method or more accurate value 	<p>! <i>For 2m, answer of 22 or 23</i> Do not accept unless a correct method or a more accurate value is seen</p> <p>✗ <i>For 1m, no indication of multiplication</i></p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ $\frac{1}{6} \pi 3.5^2 3.5$ ♦ $\frac{1}{6} \pi 12.25 3.5$ <p>✗ <i>For 1m, conceptual error</i></p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ $\frac{1}{6} \times \pi \times 7 \times 3.5$

(U1)

Tier & Question								Expressions	
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8						
	23	13	8			Correct response		Additional guidance	
				2m	$8x + 31$				
				or 1m	Shows or implies the four correct terms resulting from multiplying out the brackets, even if there is incorrect further working eg <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $5x, 10, 21, 3x$ ■ $5x + 10$ and $21 + 3x$ ■ $5x + 31 + 3x$ ■ $8x + 10 + 21$ 			<p>✗ <i>For 1m, incomplete processing in constant terms</i> eg, for the first expression</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ $5x + 5 \times 2 + 3 \times 7 + 3x$ 	
				or	Multiplies out both sets of brackets with not more than one error, then follows through using their expansion to give a fully simplified expression eg <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $5x + 10 + 27$ (error) $+ 3x = 8x + 37$ 				
				2m	$x^2 + 7x + 10$				<p>! <i>Expression equated to zero</i> Condone</p>
				or 1m	Shows or implies the four correct terms resulting from multiplying out the brackets, even if there is incorrect further working eg <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $x^2, 2x, 5x, 10$ ■ $x \times x + 5x$ and $2 \times x + 10$ 				
				or	The only error in an otherwise correct and simplified expression is to give an incorrect but non-zero constant term, or to leave incomplete processing in the correct constant term eg <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $x^2 + 2x + 5x + 7$ (error) $= x^2 + 7x + 7$ ■ $x^2 + 7x + 2 \times 5$ ■ $x \times x + 7 \times x + 2 \times 5$ 				

Tier & Question					Marking overlay available	Tracking elephants
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8			
		16	9		Correct response	Additional guidance
				2m	Uses compasses to draw two arcs centred on A and B within the tolerances as shown on the overlay, and indicates the correct region	<p>! <i>Arcs extended</i> Ignore</p> <p>! <i>Extra arcs drawn</i> Ignore provided there is no ambiguity</p>
				or 1m	<p>Draws two arcs centred on A and B within the tolerances as shown on the overlay, even if compasses are not used, and/or an incorrect or no region is indicated</p> <p>or</p> <p>Indicates the correct region for their arcs centred on A and B, even if they are outside the tolerance as shown on the overlay</p> <p>or</p> <p>The only error is that the two arcs are centred on the incorrect vertices of the square</p>	<p>! <i>For 1m, follow through</i> Accept unambiguous indication of a correct region formed by an attempt at two symmetrical arcs or sets of lines 'centred' on A and B, even if inaccurately drawn eg, accept</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦  ♦  ♦  <p>Do not accept follow through from only one arc or line, or from non-symmetrical arcs or lines</p>

Tier & Question				Algebra grids	
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8		
		17	10	Correct response	
				Additional guidance	
			3m	<p>Completes all three grids correctly, ie</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> $\begin{array}{c} \boxed{6x} \\ \boxed{2x} \quad \boxed{4x} \\ \boxed{8x^2} \end{array}$ </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> $\begin{array}{c} \boxed{3x+1} \\ \boxed{x+1} \quad \boxed{2x} \\ \boxed{2x(x+1)} \\ \text{or} \\ \boxed{2x^2+2x} \end{array}$ </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> $\begin{array}{c} \boxed{5x} \\ \boxed{2x} \quad \boxed{3x} \\ \boxed{6x^2} \end{array}$ </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>or</p> $\begin{array}{c} \boxed{5x} \\ \boxed{3x} \quad \boxed{2x} \\ \boxed{6x^2} \end{array}$ </div> </div>	
			or 2m	<p>Completes the first two grids correctly</p> <p>or</p> <p>Completes the third grid correctly and gives any two correct entries in the first two grids</p> <p>or</p> <p>Completes the third grid correctly, gives any one correct entry in the first grid, makes an error in the right-hand entry of the second grid, but follows through correctly to give their product</p>	
			or 1m	<p>Gives any two correct entries in the first two grids</p> <p>or</p> <p>Completes the third grid correctly</p> <p>or</p> <p>Gives any one correct entry in the first grid, makes an error in the right-hand entry of the second grid, but follows through correctly to give their product</p>	
				(U1)	

Tier & Question				Four kites	
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8		
		18	11	Correct response	Additional guidance
				<p>2m 115</p> <p>or</p> <p>1m Shows the value 230 or 130</p> <p>or</p> <p>Shows the value 90, provided there is no evidence that this value has been assigned to angle k</p> <p>or</p> <p>Shows or implies a complete correct method with not more than one computational error eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $\frac{1}{2} \left(320 - \frac{360}{4} \right)$ ■ $180 - 45 - 20$ ■ $\frac{1080 - 4 \times 40}{8}$ <p>or</p> <p>Forms a correct equation involving k, even if the 90° angle has not been found</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $2k = 360 - 40 - x$ ■ $(k =) 160 - \frac{1}{2}x$ 	

Tier & Question				Volume of 100	
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8		
		19	12	Correct response	Additional guidance
				<p>1m Gives a correct pair of positive values such that $x^2y = 100$</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $x = 2, y = 25$ ■ $x = 1, y = 100$ ■ $x = 5, y = 4$ ■ $x = 10, y = 1$ ■ $x = 4, y = 6.25$ 	<p>! <i>Value(s) rounded</i></p> <p>Accept x as $\sqrt{100 \div \text{their } y}$ or y as $100 \div \text{their } x^2$ to 3 s.f. or better</p> <p>eg, accept</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ $x = 3.16, y = 10$ ◆ $x = 3, y = 11.1$ <p>✗ <i>Negative value of x</i></p>
				<p>1m Gives a different correct pair of positive values from any credited for the first mark</p>	

Tier & Question				Bias
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8	
		20	13	
				Correct response
				Additional guidance
				<p>2m</p> <p>Indicates the coin is not biased (eg ‘Not biased’ or ‘No’) and gives a correct justification</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Of the 200 trials, 110 are heads ■ $\frac{110}{200} = 0.55$ ■ $0.55 < 0.56$ ■ $0.56 \times 200 = 112$ ■ $112 > 110$ ■ The mean number of heads is 11 ■ $20 \times 0.56 = 11.2$, $11 < 11.2$ ■ $0 + 3 + 1 + 1 + 2 + 2 + 1 - 1 + 0 + 1 = 10$, $10 \div 200 = 5\%$, so it’s 55% which is less than 56% <p><i>or</i></p> <p>1m</p> <p>Shows a correct estimate of probability based on all 200 results, even if it is written unconventionally, but makes an incorrect or no decision</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ 0.55 ■ 55(%) ■ $\frac{110}{200}$ ■ $\frac{11}{20}$ ■ 110 out of 200 <p>or</p> <p>Shows the values 110 and 112, or 11 and 11.2, but makes an incorrect or no decision</p> <p>or</p> <p>Shows or implies a correct method with not more than one computational error, then follows through to make their correct decision</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $5 + 6.5 + 5.5 + 5.5 + \dots + 5.5$ so not biased ■ $10 + 13 + 11 + \dots + 11 = 114$ (error), ■ $\frac{114}{200} > 0.56$ so biased <p>(U1)</p>
				<p>✓ <i>Minimally acceptable justification</i></p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ 55% ♦ $\frac{110}{200}$ ♦ 110, 112 ♦ 11, 11.2 <p>! <i>Response assumes the pupil has already concluded the coin is biased</i></p> <p>Condone</p> <p>eg, for 2m accept</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ 55%, so her conclusion is wrong <p>! <i>Irrelevant information</i></p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ 7 of the 10 sets of results were less than 11.2 <p>Ignore if accompanying a correct response, otherwise do not accept</p> <p>× <i>For 2m, incomplete or incorrect justification</i></p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ They add up to 110 ♦ The mean is 11 ♦ $0.56 \times 20 = 11.2$ ♦ Median = 11 and $11 < 11.2$

Area A

Tier & Question					Correct response	Additional guidance
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8			
		21	14			
				2m	45, with no evidence of an incorrect method	<p>✗ <i>Incorrect method</i></p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ $3 \times (5 + 10)$ <p>! <i>Incorrect units inserted</i></p> <p>Ignore</p> <p>! <i>For 1m, dimension of 6 for B within incorrect working</i></p> <p>As this could represent the height rather than the width, do not accept</p> <p>eg, do not accept</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ B is 6 by 10
				or 1m	<p>Shows or implies that the width of B is 6</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $15 \times 2 \div 5 = 6$ ■ C is 5 by 3, so B is 5 by 6 ■ B is 5×6 ■ 6 correctly marked on diagram ■ The width of A must be 9 <p>or</p> <p>Shows or implies a complete correct method with not more than one computational error</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $5 \times (15 - (15 \times 2 \div 5))$ ■ $75 - 15 \times 2$ ■ $15 \times 8 - 15 - 30 - 30$ ■ $15 \times 2 = 30,$ $30 \div 5 = 5$ (<i>error</i>), $15 - 5 = 10,$ $10 \times 5 = 50$ 	
						(U1)

Tier & Question								Field voles	
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8						
		22	15			Correct response		Additional guidance	
		a	1m			Gives a value between 0.65 and 0.68 inclusive or equivalent probability eg ■ $\frac{660}{1000}$ [0.66]			
		b	1m			Gives a value between 0.5 and 0.61 inclusive or equivalent probability eg ■ $\frac{160}{290}$ [0.5517...] ■ $\frac{150}{290}$ [0.5172...] ■ $\frac{160}{300}$ [0.5333...]			

Tier & Question					16	Correct response	Additional guidance
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8				
					2m	168	
					or 1m	<p>Shows or implies a complete correct method with not more than one computational error</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $\frac{24}{25} \times 175$ ■ $175 \times 60 \times 24 \div 25 \div 60$ ■ $175 - \frac{175}{25}$ ■ $1440 \times 175 \div 1500$ ■ $252000 \div 1500$ ■ $175 \div 25 = 6$ (error), $175 - 6 = 169$ <p>or</p> <p>Shows or implies that the difference in the number of minutes is 7, even if there is incorrect or no further working</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $175 \times 60 = 10\,500$, $10\,500 \div 25 = 420$, $420 \div 60 = 7$ ■ $175 \div 25 = 7$, $175 +$ (error) $7 = 182$ 	<p>! For 1m, value of 7 or 182 taken to imply a difference of 7 minutes</p> <p>Accept only if a correct method for finding either 7 or 182 is seen</p> <p>Otherwise, do not accept</p> <p>eg, accept</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ $175 \div 25 = 7$ [without sight of $175 \div 24$] ♦ $175 + 175 \div 25 = 182$ <p>eg, do not accept</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ $175 \div 24 = 7.291666\dots$ ≈ 7 ♦ $\frac{25}{24} \times 175 = 182$

Tier & Question							Equations of lines	
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8	17				
			a	1m	<p>Gives the equation of a straight line, other than $y = x + 1$, that passes through (0, 1)</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $y = 2x + 1$ ■ $y = -x + 1$ ■ $y + x = 1$ ■ $3y + 3x = 3$ ■ $y = 1$ ■ $x = 0$ 		<p>! <i>Throughout the question, unsimplified equation or unconventional notation</i></p> <p>eg, for part (a)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ $y = 2 \times x + 1$ ◆ $y = x + x + 1$ <p>Condone</p> <p>✗ <i>Same equation as the given line, but rearranged</i></p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ $y - x = 1$ ◆ $y = x + 2 - 1$ ◆ $2y = 2x + 2$ 	
				1m	<p>Gives a correct equation, other than one previously credited</p>		<p>✗ <i>Same equation as the given line or one previously credited, but rearranged</i></p>	
			b	1m	<p>Gives the equation of a straight line that is parallel to $x + y = 5$</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $x + y = 3$ ■ $y = -x + 6$ 		<p>✗ <i>Same equation as the given line, but rearranged</i></p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ $2x + 2y = 10$ ◆ $y = 5 - x$ 	

Tier & Question					Households	
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8			
			18		Correct response	Additional guidance
				3m	1.6	✗ For 3m, equivalent fractions or decimals
				or 2m	Shows the value 98.4, 98.3(...) or 98 or Shows or implies a correct method even if there are rounding or truncation errors eg <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $100 - \frac{20.97 \times 2.34 \times 100}{49.87}$ ■ $20.97 \times 2.34 = 49.07$ $49.87 - 49.07 = 0.8$ $\frac{0.8}{49.87}$ ■ $(\frac{49.87}{20.97} - 2.34) \times \frac{20.97}{49.87} \times 100$ ■ $\frac{49.87}{2.34} = 21.(...), \frac{21.(...) - 20.97}{21.(...)}$ ■ Gives an answer that rounds or truncates to 1.6, or is equivalent to 1.6 ■ Shows the digits 16(...) 	
				or 1m	Shows the number of people who did live in households eg <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ 49.0698 million ■ 49.1 million ■ 49.0(...) million or Shows the number of people who did not live in households eg <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ 0.8(...) million ■ 800200 ■ 800000 or Shows the number of households there would have been if every person had lived in one eg <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ 21.3(...) million 	✓ For 1m, 'million' omitted ! Value of 49 (million) given as the number of people who did live in households For 1m, do not accept unless a correct method or a more accurate value is seen

Cuboid

Tier & Question					19	Correct response	Additional guidance
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8				
					2m	Gives both correct surface areas, ie 88 and 104	
					<i>or</i> 1m	Gives one correct surface area or Shows the values 22 and 26 or Shows a complete correct method with not more than one computational error eg <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $24 \div 6 = 4,$ $(4 \times 6 + 2 \times 1) \times 4$ and $(2 \times 6 + 2 \times 3 + 2 \times 2) \times 4$ ■ $24 \times 6 = 144,$ $144 - 14 \times 4$ and $144 - 10 \times 4$ ■ $24 \div 6 = 3$ (<i>error</i>) Answers: 66 and 78 ■ $24 \times 6 = 124$ (<i>error</i>) $124 - 14 \times 4 = 68$ $124 - 10 \times 4 = 84$ or The only error is to take 24 as the area of one face of each small cube, ie gives the answers 528 and 624	! For 1m, other working shown As these may be trials, ignore
					(U1)		

Tier & Question					20	Correct response	Additional guidance
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8				
					<p>3m 9</p> <p>or 2m Shows or implies a complete correct method with not more than one error</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ EA : EC is 6 : 4 = 3 : 2, <p>AC is $\frac{40 - 10}{2} = 15$,</p> <p>$\frac{3}{5} \times 15$</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $40 - 10 = 30$, <p>BCE and ADE similar, ratio $1 : 1\frac{1}{2}$,</p> <p>$1 + 1 + 1\frac{1}{2} + 1\frac{1}{2} = 5$,</p> <p>$30 \div 5 = 6$, $6 \times 1\frac{1}{2}$</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $(40 - 4 - 6) \div 2 = 16$ (error), $16 \div 5 = 3.2$, $3.2 \times 3 = 9.6$ <p>or 1m Shows or implies that EA (or ED) is $\frac{3}{5}$ of AC (or BD)</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ EA : EC is 6 : 4 ■ BCE and ADE similar, ratio $1 : 1\frac{1}{2}$ ■ 3 : 2 or 2 : 3 or equivalent ratio seen ■ $\frac{3}{5}$ or equivalent seen ■ $\div 5 \times 3$ or equivalent seen ■ 18, 12 seen <p>or</p> <p>Shows or implies that the length of AC (or BD) is 15</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $\frac{40 - 10}{2}$ ■ 15 seen ■ AE (or DE) = 10, EC (or EB) = 5 [incorrect but total 15] 		

U1

Tier & Question					21	Correct response	Additional guidance
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8				
							Three dice
					2m	$\frac{1}{36}$ or equivalent probability	<p>! For 2m or 1m, values rounded or truncated</p> <p>For 2m, accept 0.03, 0.028 or 0.027(...), or the percentage equivalents</p> <p>For 2m, do not accept 0.02 unless a correct method or a more accurate value is seen</p> <p>For 1m, accept 0.17 or 0.16(...) for $\frac{1}{6}$, or the percentage equivalents</p> <p>For 1m, do not accept 0.2 for $\frac{1}{6}$ unless a more accurate value is seen</p>
					or 1m	<p>Shows or implies a complete correct method, even if values are rounded or truncated</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ $\frac{6}{6} \times \frac{1}{6} \times \frac{1}{6}$ ▪ $1 \times \frac{1}{6} \times \frac{1}{6}$ ▪ $\frac{1}{6} \times \frac{1}{6}$ ▪ $\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^3 \times 6$ ▪ 0.17×0.17 ▪ 0.02 <p>or</p> <p>Shows or implies a correct method to find the total number of possible outcomes</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 216 ▪ $6 \times 6 \times 6$ ▪ $\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^3$ <p>or</p> <p>Shows a correct method that uses explicitly the fact that, in this case, the outcome of one dice is irrelevant</p> <p>eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ It doesn't matter what you throw on the first dice, but the other two dice must match it, so it's $\frac{1}{6}$ then $\frac{1}{6}$ 	

Tier & Question							Population of Wales	
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8					
			22			Correct response	Additional guidance	
				2m		$2\frac{2}{3}$ or equivalent	<p>! For 2m, value rounded or truncated Accept 2.7 or 2.66 or better, provided there is no evidence of an incorrect method Do not accept 2.6 unless a correct method or a more accurate value is seen</p> <p>! For 2m or 1m, million repeated eg, for 2m accept ♦ 2 666 667</p> <p>✗ For 2m or 1m, evidence of an incorrect method eg ♦ $3 \div 8 \times 7$ which is about 2.7 ♦ 2.625, so 2.7</p>	
				or 1m		Shows or implies that 3 million represents $\frac{9}{8}$ eg <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $3 \times 8 \div 9$ ■ $3\,000\,000 - 3\,000\,000 \div 9$ ■ $3 = 112.5\%$ <p>or</p> <p>Shows the digits 27 or 266(...), with no evidence of an incorrect method</p>		

Tier & Question					Leaning tower of Pisa		
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8	23			Correct response
					2m	<p>Gives a complete correct explanation</p> <p>The most common correct explanations:</p> <p>Use 5.5° and 56m to show that 5.2m cannot be correct eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $\sin 5.5 \times 56 = 5.3(\dots)$ [or 5.4] <p>Use 5.5° and 5.2m to show that 56m cannot be correct eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $\frac{5.2}{\sin 5.5} = 54(\dots)$ <p>Use 5.2m and 56m to show that 5.5° cannot be correct eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $\sin^{-1}\left(\frac{5.2}{56}\right) = 5.3(\dots)$ ■ $5.2 \div 56 = 0.092(\dots)$ [or 0.093] but $\sin 5.5 = 0.095(\dots)$ [or 0.096] 	<p>✓ <i>For 2m, minimally acceptable explanation</i> eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ $\sin 5.5 \times 56 \neq 5.2$ ♦ $\frac{5.2}{\sin 5.5} \neq 56$ ♦ $\sin^{-1}\left(\frac{5.2}{56}\right) \neq 5.5$ ♦ $5.2 \div 56 \neq \sin 5.5$ <p>✓ <i>For 2m, correct explanation using the vertical height</i> eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ $\sqrt{56^2 - 5.2^2} = 55.7(\dots)$ [or 55.8] $\tan 5.5 = 0.096(\dots)$, but $5.2 \div 55.7(\dots) = 0.093(\dots)$ ♦ $56 \cos 5.5 = 55(\dots)$ [or 56], but $5.2 \div \tan 5.5 = 54(\dots)$ <p>✓ <i>For 2m, correct explanation using angle of 84.5°</i> eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ $\cos 84.5 \times 56 = 5.3(\dots)$ <p>! <i>For 2m or 1m, other redundant or incorrect working</i> Ignore alongside correct working eg, for 2m accept</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ $\sin 5.5 \times 56 = 5.3$ not 5.2, $\frac{5.2}{56} = 0.09^\circ$ <p>✗ <i>For 2m or 1m, explanation is based on scale drawing</i></p> <p>✓ <i>For 1m, correct ratio using angle of 84.5°</i></p> <p>✓ <i>For 1m, incomplete but unambiguous notation</i> eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ $\sin = \frac{5.2}{56}$ <p>! <i>For 1m, their ratio uses all three values</i> eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ $\sin 5.5 = 5.2 \div 56$ <p>Condone</p>
					or 1m	<p>Shows a correct trigonometric ratio involving two of the three values given eg</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ $\tan 5.5 = \frac{5.2}{h}$ ■ $\cos 5.5 = h \div 56$ 	
							(U1)

BLANK PAGE

BLANK PAGE

Index to mark schemes

Tier				Question	Page
3-5	4-6	5-7	6-8		
1				Matching	12
2				Pupil list	13
3				Thinking angles	14
4				Moving on a grid	15
5				Cards	15
6				Tennis	16
7				Joining points	17
8	1			Mirror lines	18
9	2			Using rules	19
10	3			Cough mixture	20
11	4			Working with areas	22
12	5			Pregnancy	23
13	6			Missing numbers	23
14	7			Hexagons	24
15	8			Sponsored swim	24
18	9	1		Cat food	25
16	10	2		Wine gums	26
17	11	3		Values	27
19	12	4		Thinking triangularly	28
22	13	5		Toilet rolls	29
20	14	6		Woodpeckers	30
21	15	7		Changing 120	30
	16	8	1	Four angles	31
	17	9	2	Balancing	31
	18	10	3	Five cubes	32
	19	11	4	n th term	33
	20	12	5	Enlargement	34
	21	14	6	Error	35
	22	15	7	Tomatoes	36
	23	13	8	Expressions	38
		16	9	Tracking elephants	39
		17	10	Algebra grids	40
		18	11	Four kites	41
		19	12	Volume of 100	41
		20	13	Bias	42
		21	14	Area A	43
		22	15	Field voles	44
			16	Films	45
			17	Equations of lines	46
			18	Households	47
			19	Cuboid	48
			20	Five points	49
			21	Three dice	50
			22	Population of Wales	51
			23	Leaning tower of Pisa	52

First published in 2006

© Qualifications and Curriculum Authority 2006

Reproduction, storage, adaptation or translation, in any form or by any means, of this publication is prohibited without prior written permission of the publisher, unless within the terms of licences issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency. Excerpts may be reproduced for the purpose of research, private study, criticism or review, or by educational institutions solely for educational purposes, without permission, provided full acknowledgement is given.

Produced in Great Britain by the Qualifications and Curriculum Authority under the authority and superintendence of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office and Queen's Printer of Acts of Parliament.

The Qualifications and Curriculum Authority is an exempt charity under Schedule 2 of the Charities Act 1993.

Qualifications and Curriculum Authority
83 Piccadilly
London
W1J 8QA
www.qca.org.uk

Further teacher packs may be purchased (for any purpose other than statutory assessment) by contacting:

QCA Orderline, PO Box 29, Norwich NR3 1GN
tel: 08700 606015; fax: 08700 606017
email: orderline@qca.org.uk

Order ref: QCA/06/1923

270039

Tracking elephants

Tier 5–7 Paper 2 Question 16

Tier 6–8 Paper 2 Question 9

